Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/028,443	RAJAK, SURENDRA KUMAR
	Examiner	Art Unit
	DEBBIE M. LE	2168
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>pending</u>	
(1) <u>DEBBIE M. LE</u> .	(3)	
(2) Mr Paul Kravetz.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 9 May 2006	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
current rejection of record		
Claims discussed:		
all independent claims		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Wang et al (US patent Application publication no. 2005/0149555 A1), US patent 5,499,371 and Sutherland (US patent Application publication no. 2005/0149555 A1).		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:		
See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The prior art still does not teaching the claimed invetion as a whole, specially, missing the inventative step as building tables for the extracted data accordance with metadata for the extracted data while the prior art using the mapping functions. The Examiner suggested to the Applicant's representative to amend the claims to distinguish and more clear in order to overcome the prior art of record and will place the application in condition for allowance. As the result, claims have been amended directly resulted in the Examiner's Amendments.